



## United States Fent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/803,250 03/09/2001		03/09/2001	Paul H. Feinberg	SONY 3.0-042	7066
-530	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
LERNER, I	DAVID,	LITTENBERG,	BROWN, VERNAL U		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			2635	8	
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/803,250	FEINBERG, PAUL H.					
. Advisory Aution	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vernal U Brown	2635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	date on which the petition under 37 CFI date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:	·						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.15 Other: <u>Interview</u> Summary							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The reference of Snyder et al. teaches a toy selecting a response based on receiving an associated answer electromagnetic wave and the output is combined with a simultaneously selected second output (col. 9 lines 17-24). The reference of Gabai et al. is further relied upon for teaching user defined responses from a toy (col. 33 lines 25-27).

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

MANAGEMENT AND A STREET AND